



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/547,281	04/12/2000	Hagen Schempf	99146	4983

7590

03/15/2002

Jonathan C Parks Esquire  
Kirkpatrick & Lockhart LLP  
Henry W Oliver Building  
535 Smithfield Street  
Pittsburgh, PA 15222

EXAMINER

HOOK, JAMES F

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3752

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/547,281

Applicant(s)

Schempf et al.

Examiner

James F. Hook

Group Art Unit

3752



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) James F. Hook

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Ms. Christine Ethridge (applicant's Rep.)

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Mar 13, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:


Examiner explained what was considered non-responsive about applicant's election of species filed on November 13, 2001, specifically that it could not be determined based upon the new claim language and election made as to what claims read on the species currently elected. Applicant's representative will respond by setting forth a particular embodiment to be examined and set forth the claims that read only on the embodiment chosen.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
JAMES F. HOOK  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 3752